

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                               | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/881,024                                    | 06/15/2001      | Kumar Bhaskaran      | YO9-99-314              | 7837             |  |
| 30743   | 7590 07/13/2006 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C. |                 |                      | FRANCIS, MARK P         |                  |  |
| 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD<br>SUITE 340          |                 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
| RESTON, VA 20190                              |                 |                      | 2193                    |                  |  |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 09/881,024      | BHASKARAN ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |
| Mark P. Francis | 2193             |  |

|  | Mark P. Francis   | 2193   |                                |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add                              | ress                           |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow<br>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance<br>time periods:   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (     | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C   | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3) |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI             | g date of the final rejecti                    | on.                            |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  | liance with 27 CED 41 27 must be  | filed within two month                         | a af the date of               |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th                          |                                |  |  |  |  |
| ***************************************  | huit maior to the data of filing a baiof  | will mak be ambaned by                         |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or  | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>w);<br>tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); ducing or simplifying               |                                |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   | ected claims.                                  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |   | ll be entered and an e                         | explanation of                 |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-7.   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | d sufficient reasons why the affiday  | vit or other evidence is                       | s necessary and                |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper<br>y and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)( | ls to provide a<br>1).         |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach                        | ned.                           |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>   | it does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowa                         | nce because:                   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other:  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments made by the Applicant to the independent claims 1 and 7 require the examiner to perform additional search and examination.

naca. Ch.

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100